

Scrutiny topic registration form

| * Proposed topic: * Councillor | Maintenance, parking and safety issues at Broadway shops D'Agorne - Councillor Andy D'Agorne; Taylor - Councillor Dave |
|---|---|
| registering the topic | Taylor; |
| Submitted due to an unresolved 'Cllr Call for Action' enquiry | We would like to register a Councillor Call for Action regarding parking, maintenance and pedestrian safety issues at Broadway shops in Fulford. In terms of action that has been tried, we have had ward funding towards feasibility studies since 2004, a residents petition (2008), an officer report and consequent discussion at EMAP, letters from Neighbourhood Services to shopkeepers, York Pride spending, ward Councillor meetings with officers and with shopkeepers. None of these have resolved the issues. Damon Copperthwaite and Alistair Briggs will be able to confirm that these have all failed to resolve the dissatisfaction of the residents about safety issues at these shops. |

Please complete this section as thoroughly as you can. The information provided will help Scrutiny Officers and Scrutiny Members to assess the following key elements to the success of any scrutiny review:

How a review should best be undertaken given the subject Who needs to be involved What should be looked at By when it should be achieved; and Why we are doing it ?

Please describe how the proposed topic fits with 3 of the eligibility criteria attached.

| | Yes | Policy ? Development & Review | Service Improvement & Delivery | Accountability of Executive Decisions |
|---|-----|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Public Interest (ie. in terms of both proposals being in the public interest and resident perceptions) | • | | | |
| Under Performance / Service Dissatisfaction | ◄ | | | |

Annex A

| In keeping with corporate priorities | • | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Level of Risk | | | |
| Service Efficiency | | | |
| National/local/regional significance e.g. A central government priority area, concerns joint working arrangements at a local 'York' or wider regional context | | | |

* Set out briefly the purpose of any scrutiny review of your proposed topic. What do you think it should achieve?

Aim to achieve a long term solution to the problems associated with this private service road and forecourt for a parade of local shops. This would include identifying an approach that could be used here and elsewhere in the city where there are similar problems.

* Please explain briefly what you think any scrutiny review of your proposed topic should cover.

Securing a partnership approach to improving access to local services where the council does not control the frontage. Resolving conflicts between pedestrian safety and parking/ delivery access. Securing a sustainable maintenance strategy for vehicular access and parking, including options to reconfigure highway layout to meet modern needs.

* Please indicate which other Councils, partners or external services could, in your opinion, participate in the review, saying why.

Statutory undertakers - responsible for maintenance of services underneath the area Retailers, notably the Cooperative and Post Office stores that are key facilities for the local area. Council departments - Highways, Neighbourhood management unit, Economic Development Unit Local community groups – Broadway Area Good Neighbour & Residents Association (BAGNARA) and Fulford Parish Council

* Explain briefly how, in your opinion, such a review might be most efficiently undertaken?

All interested parties invited to put forward their views in what should happen and how this might be achieved. Small retailers on the parade invited to consider forming a traders association to negotiate with the council and other agencies on a plan to upgrade the area and protect customer safety while still catering for loading and parking.

Estimate the timescale for I-3 months

Annex A

completion.

C 3-6 months

C 6-9 months

Support documents or other useful information

Warning: This item is published and cannot be updated

Date submitted: Monday, 31st August, 2009, 10.33 pm

Submitted by: Councillor Andy D'Agorne